## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/066,763	02/06/2002	Jung Man An	K-0390	5799	
34610 KED & ASSO	7590 04/03/2007 CIATES LIP		EXAMINER		
KED & ASSOCIATES, LLP P.O. Box 221200			RUDY, AI	RUDY, ANDREW J	
Chantilly, VA 20153-1200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3627		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MONTHS		04/03/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

<u> </u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/066,763	AN, JUNG MAN				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Andrew Joseph Rudy	3627				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 L	December 2006					
·	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) $\boxtimes$ This action is non-final.						
'=							
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1,6-20 and 25-28 is/are pending in the	ne application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>7-20</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,6 and 25-28</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
· —	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9)□.	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er					
	•		- - - - - -				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.05(a).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
_	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
,-							
	<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
coo and attached detailed office action for a list of the certified copies flot received.							
Attachment	• •	_					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Dipole of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Notice of Information Patent Application							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

Art Unit: 3627

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on December 28, 2007 has been entered. Claims 7-20 remain withdrawn from consideration as drawn to a non-elected invention.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, and 25-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Meyer et al., US 7,188,186.

Meyer discloses providing digital contentand stored advertisements, e.g. Figs 1a-c, claims 1-3, 18 and 27. Meyer does not specifically disclose a specific sequence file indexed to regulate a transmission order for a plurality of service unit data.

Application/Control Number: 10/066,763

Art Unit: 3627

Official Notice is taken that a sequence file indexed to regulate a transmission order for a plurality of service unit data has been common knowledge in the data transmission art. To have provided such for Meyer would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. The motivation for having done such would have been to implement common knowledge data transmission paths for the advertising of Meyer.

Page 3

Applicant's December 28, 2006 REMARKS have been reviewed and are convincing with regards to the previous rejection. However, the REMARKS are moot in light of the new grounds of rejection.

- 4. Further pertinent references of interest are noted on the attached PTO-892.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Joseph Rudy whose telephone number is 571-272-6789. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ryan M. Zeender can be reached on 571-272-6790. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Andrew Joseph Rudy Primary Examiner Art Unit 3627 Page 4